

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,087		07/30/2003		Peter Jahrling	P03,0283	8480
26574	75	90	03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
		DIN, LL	SANTOS, ROBERT G			
PATENT 6600 SEA			1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAG	O, IL	60606-6	473	3673	3673	
					DATE MARED 02/06/2004	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/630,087	JAHRLING, PETER						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Robert G. Santos	3673						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7,8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6,9 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07302003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/630,087

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hanz '780. Hanz '780 shows the claimed limitations of a table top (8) having an armrest (1), the armrest being provided with angularly arranged insertion pieces (as shown in Figures 1 & 2 and as described in column 3, lines 12 & 13, each segment comprising elements 9 and 10 is angularly offset with respect to the other segment), which can be inserted into at least one insertion opening (11, 12) provided on the edge of the table top. With regards to claim 7, the reference discloses the use of a plurality of insert openings (11, 12) which are arranged in a row at equal spacing from one another along the edge of the table top (see Figure 6). As concerns claim 8, the reference is considered to show a condition wherein the armrest (1) has two insertion pieces (each pair of segments comprising elements 9 and 10) forming a pair and has two pairs (9, 10) of these insertion pieces spaced apart at a distance equal to the spacing between insertion openings on the table top (see Figure 6). With regards to claim 10, the reference is considered to show a condition wherein the armrest (1) is provided with grip holes (2-4) (see Figures 3 & 4).

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanz '780. Hanz '780 is considered to show all of the claimed limitations as recited in claims 2-5 except for a condition wherein the insertion tabs (9, 10) specifically have a right angle profile. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the armrest of Hanz '780 with insertion tabs specifically having a right angle profile, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 9, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The examiner respectfully asserts that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the armrest of Hanz '780 to include two insertion pieces wherein one of the insertion pieces exhibits the same orientation as the surface of the armrest as particularly recited in claims 6, 9, and 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,087

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

Page 4

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jahrling '851, Newkirk et al. '569, Yoshino et al. '962, McCoy '393, McCoy '392, Faucher '809, Kumagai '603, Yamashita et al. '173, Crocker '875, Smith '081, Fox '079, Keane '165, Thorpe '259, Bendersky '463, Hans '857, and Bertrand '018.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (703) 308-7469. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert G. Santos Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

R.S. March 19, 2004